



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/687,493	10/13/2000	Sung Sik Jang	45475-00028 99-44653	9392	
75	590 01/24/20	2			
Stanley R. Moore, Esq.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jenkens and Gil 3200 Fountain I	•	WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O			
1445 Ross Ave. Dallas, TX 752			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dullus, IA 13			2826		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

			Je-
	Application No.	plicant(s)	
•	09/687,493	JANG	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
•	Alexander O Williams	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover she t with t	he correspondenc address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply leply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal matters er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.	;
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		\wedge
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	, 1
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in Applic	cation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	!
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•		->
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	n).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 			
Attachment(s)	_		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/687,493

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 09/687493 Attorney's Docket #: 45475-00028

Filing Date: 10/13/00; claimed foreign priority to 10/15/99

Applicant: Jang

Examiner: Alexander Williams

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification "half-etched section 210" is not shown in the drawings. On page 9, the information should be updated. All the reference numbers should be dfined in the specification and refer to an element in the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the ground ring, said ground ring being electrically connected to said semiconductor chip by said conductive wires in claims 5, 12, and 19, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because it is unclear to what is being pointed out in "150" in figure 1.

Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,493

Art Unit: 2826

Claims 1 to 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, 8 and 15, it is unclear and confusing to what is meant by the elements in the drawing.

In claims 5, 12, and 19, it is confusing and unclear to what is meant by "a ground ring, said ground ring being electrically connected to said semiconductor chip by said conductive wires." Where is this shown in the drawings?

Any of claims 1 to 20 not specifically addressed above are rejected as being dependent on one or more of the claims which have been specifically objected to above.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Initially, and with respect to claims 6, 13 and 20, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,493

Art Unit: 2826

Claims 1 to 4, 6 to 11, 13 to 18 and 20, **insofar as they can be understood**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Glenn et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,281,568 B1).

For example, Glenn et al. (figures 2 to 16) specifically figures 2 and 10 show a package semiconductor 60, comprising: a semiconductor chip 52 having a upper surface, a circumference and a bottom surface; a plurality of input bond pads 53 and output bond pads 53 on said upper surface along said circumference electrically connected to said semiconductor chip 52; a leadframe having a chip paddle 22, said chip paddle being bonded to said semiconductor chip by an adhesive (inherit), said leadframe having a plurality of tie bars 23, said plurality of tie bars 28 each having a side surface and a bottom surface, said plurality of tie bars externally extending from said chip paddle 22, said leadframe having a plurality of dam bars 29; a plurality of leads 31 connected to said leadframe; a plurality of wires 54 electrically connected to said plurality of leads and said semiconductor chips; encapsulation material encapsulating said semiconductor chip, said plurality of conductive wires, said chip paddle, and said plurality of internal leads 63 to form a package body 51; wherein said chip paddle has a plurality of through holes in said half etched section of said chip paddle for increasing the bonding strength of said encapsulating material in said package body. Glenn et al. fail to explicitly show the tie bars being connected to said corners of said chip paddle. However, Glenn et al. does discloses tie bars 28 connected to the chip paddle 23.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching Glenn et al.'s tie bars for the purpose of providing support to the leadframe. As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

The listed references are cited as of interest to this application, but not applied at this time.

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class and subclass: 257/666,675,676,684,692,693,696,698,706,707,711- 713,717,720,734,730,787,796	1/12/02
Other Documentation: foreign patents and literature in 257//666,675,676,684,692,693,696,698,706,707,711-	1/12/02

Application/Control Number: 09/687,493

Art Unit: 2826

713,717,720,734,730,787,796	
Electronic data base(s): U.S. Patents EAST	1/12/02

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 2800 via the Technology Center 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4-5B15. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center 2800 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or 24. Only Papers related to Technology Center 2800 APPLICATIONS SHOULD BE FAXED to the GROUP 2800 FAX CENTER.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to *Examiner Alexander Williams* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-4863**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Technology Center* 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

1/14/02

Primary Examiner Alexander O. Williams